

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/826,323	04/04/2001	Scott Jeffrey Sherr	041892-0209	4158
34492	7590 12/20/2004		EXAMINER	
SIDLEY AUSTIN BROWN & WOOD LLP (LAIP GROUP)			FADOK, MARK A	
	5 W. FIFTH ST., SUITE 4000 OS ANGELES, CA 90013		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		3625	
		•	DATE MAILED: 12/20/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/826,323	SHERR ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Mark Fadok	3625			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address  Period for Reply					
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 October 2004.					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
4)  Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 2-6,11,12,19-21 and 25 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 1,7-10,13-18,22,23 and 25 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicati	ion Papers					
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 April 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureausee the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage			
A44	Wal	·				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) 🔲 Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te			
3) ∐ Inform Paper	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal Pa	atent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/826,323

Art Unit: 3625

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Election

Applicant's election of Group I, species f, which include claims 1,7-10,13-18,22,23 and 25 in the reply filed on 10/12/2004 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

### Specification

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the length exceeds 150 words. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

### **Examiner's Note**

Examiner has cited particular columns and line numbers or figures in the references as applied to the claims below for the convenience of the applicant.

Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings in the art and are applied to the specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may apply as well. It is respectfully requested from the applicant, in preparing the responses, to fully consider the references in entirety as potentially teaching all or part of the claimed invention, as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior art or disclosed by the examiner.

Application/Control Number: 09/826,323

Art Unit: 3625

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1,7-10,13-18,22,23 and 25 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Peinado et al. (6,775,655).

In regards to claims 1,7-10,13-18,22,23 and 25, Peinado discloses all the features of the instant claims. For instance, Peinado teaches a method for digital rights management, where the architecture and method enforce rights in protected (secure) digital content available on a medium such as the Internet, an optical disk, etc. For purposes of making content available, the architecture includes a content server from which the digital content is accessible over the Internet or the like in an encrypted form. The content server may also supply the encrypted digital content for recording on an optical disk or the like, wherein the encrypted digital content may be distributed on the optical disk itself. At the content server, the digital content is encrypted using an encryption key, and public/private key techniques are employed to bind the digital content with a digital license at the user's computing device or client machine. When a user attempts to render the digital content on a computing device, the rendering application invokes a Digital Rights Management (DRM) system on such user's computing device. If the user is attempting to render the digital content for the first time. the DRM system either directs the user to a license server to obtain a license to render

Application/Control Number: 09/826,323 Page 4

Art Unit: 3625

such digital content in the manner sought, or transparently obtains such license from such license server without any action necessary on the part of the user. The license includes: a decryption key (KD) that decrypts the encrypted digital content; a description of the rights (play, copy, etc.) conferred by the license and related conditions (begin date, expiration date, number of plays, etc.), where such description is in a digitally readable form; and a digital signature that ensures the integrity of the license (see summary).

Peinado also teaches accessing a website to browse for content (col 13, lines 45-60), accessing decryption keys (see at least col 3, lines 5-25) and allowing use of the content for a predetermined time (col 17, lines 45-60).

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Mark Fadok** whose telephone number is **(703) 605-4252**. The examiner can normally be reached Monday thru Thursday 8:00 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Wynn Coggins** can be reached on **(703) 308-1344**.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

### Commissioner for Patents

Art Unit: 3625

### P.O. Box 1450

## Alexandria, Va. 22313-1450

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9306

[Official communications; including

After Final communications labeled

"Box AF"]

(703) 746-7206 [Informal/Draft communications, labeled

"PROPOSED" or "DRAFT"]

Hand delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park 5, 2451 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, 7<sup>th</sup> floor receptionist.

Mark Fadok

**Patent Examiner**